

# Contents

<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Configuration of Metaheuristics . . . . .	1
1.1.1 Methods for configuring metaheuristics . . . . .	2
1.2 Why agents? Preliminary comments . . . . .	3
1.3 Scope and Goals of the Thesis . . . . .	5
1.4 Contributions of the Thesis . . . . .	8
1.5 Outline of the Thesis . . . . .	8
<b>I Background</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>2 Metaheuristics at a Glance</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	13
2.2 Overview and general aspects . . . . .	14
2.3 Classification of Metaheuristics . . . . .	15
2.4 Summary . . . . .	18
<b>3 Artificial Intelligence at a Glance</b>	<b>19</b>
3.1 Introduction . . . . .	19
3.2 Distributed Artificial Intelligence . . . . .	20
3.2.1 Agents . . . . .	21
3.2.2 Multiagent systems . . . . .	23
3.2.3 Distributed Problem Solving . . . . .	24
3.3 Summary . . . . .	26
<b>4 Related Work</b>	<b>27</b>
4.1 Introduction . . . . .	27
4.2 Setting up parameters in metaheuristics . . . . .	27
4.3 Approaches that configure metaheuristics . . . . .	30
4.3.1 Meta-evolution as configurator . . . . .	30

4.3.2	Parallel approaches . . . . .	32
4.3.3	More general configurators . . . . .	32
4.4	Testing and developing metaheuristics . . . . .	37
4.5	Metaheuristics and agent-based techniques . . . . .	38
4.5.1	Related examples . . . . .	40
4.6	Summary . . . . .	42

## II Multiagent System for Configuring Algorithms in Real Problem Solving 43

<b>5</b>	<b>Formal Concepts Related to Metaheuristics and their Configuration</b>	<b>45</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	45
5.2	Parameters and configurations . . . . .	45
5.2.1	Design of experiments and evaluation . . . . .	47
5.2.2	Performance indexes . . . . .	49
5.3	Worth of a metaheuristic . . . . .	50
5.3.1	Stop criteria . . . . .	50
5.3.2	Definition of the worth . . . . .	53
5.4	The metaheuristic configuration problem . . . . .	56
5.4.1	Pareto-optimal configurations . . . . .	56
5.4.2	Configuration problem . . . . .	58
5.5	Summary . . . . .	59
<b>6</b>	<b>Agent-based Configuration of Metaheuristics. +CARPS's Design</b>	<b>61</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	61
6.1.1	Decomposition of the configuration problem . . . . .	61
6.1.2	<i>Why agents?</i> revisited . . . . .	62
6.2	Agent architecture: +CARPS . . . . .	64
6.2.1	User Mediator (UM agent) . . . . .	66
6.2.2	Starting Configuration Builders (SCB agents) . . . . .	67
6.2.3	Instantiation Strategy Manager (ISM agent) . . . . .	71
6.2.4	Algorithm Solvers (AS agents) . . . . .	74
6.2.5	Algorithm Configurators (AC agents) . . . . .	75
6.2.6	Solution Manager (SM agent) . . . . .	81
6.3	Interaction protocols in +CARPS . . . . .	82
6.3.1	The Helper-Booker Interaction Protocol . . . . .	82
6.3.2	The Engagement Interaction Protocol . . . . .	84
6.3.3	The AC-AS Request Interaction Protocol . . . . .	85
6.4	Basic essentials of agents creation and communication in +CARPS	87
6.5	Summary . . . . .	88

<b>7</b>	<b>Implementation of +CARPS</b>	<b>89</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	89
7.2	Making +CARPS agents talk and reason . . . . .	90
7.2.1	+CARPS vocabularies and ontologies . . . . .	91
7.3	+CARPS agents' communications . . . . .	97
7.3.1	User Mediator (UM agent) . . . . .	97
7.3.2	Starting Configuration Builders (SCB agents) . . . . .	106
7.3.3	Instantiation Strategy Manager (ISM agent) . . . . .	108
7.3.4	Algorithm Solvers (AS agents) . . . . .	111
7.3.5	Algorithm Configurators (AC agents) . . . . .	115
7.3.6	Solution Manager (SM agent) . . . . .	122
7.4	Interaction Protocols . . . . .	123
7.4.1	The Helper-Booker Interaction Protocol . . . . .	123
7.4.2	The Engagement Interaction Protocol . . . . .	128
7.4.3	The AC-AS Request Interaction Protocol . . . . .	132
7.5	Some design and technical information . . . . .	134
7.6	Summary . . . . .	135
<b>8</b>	<b>Case Studies</b>	<b>137</b>
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	137
8.2	Basic essentials of evolutionary algorithms . . . . .	138
8.2.1	Genetic Algorithms . . . . .	139
8.2.2	Evolution Strategies . . . . .	141
8.3	GA in Chemical Kinetics . . . . .	141
8.3.1	Inverse problems . . . . .	141
8.3.2	Applying GA . . . . .	146
8.4	Summary . . . . .	154
<b>III Results, Discussion, and Conclusions of the Thesis</b>		<b>155</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Testing +CARPS. Discussion</b>	<b>157</b>
9.1	Introduction . . . . .	157
9.2	Experimental part . . . . .	159
9.2.1	General assumptions . . . . .	161
9.2.2	Test problems for the GA . . . . .	162
9.2.3	Test problem for the ES . . . . .	163
9.3	Results . . . . .	163
9.3.1	Presenting general aspects from the +CARPS fine-tuning . . . . .	164
9.3.2	Varying the equations to calculate the worth . . . . .	170
9.3.3	Varying the weight vectors . . . . .	174
9.3.4	Varying the number of neighbors . . . . .	176
9.3.5	Considering experimental repetitions . . . . .	181

9.3.6	Varying the proportion of AC agents . . . . .	182
9.3.7	Considering more search trials . . . . .	187
9.4	Summary . . . . .	193
<b>10</b>	<b>Conclusions and Outlook</b>	<b>195</b>
10.1	Conclusions and summary of the main contributions . . . . .	195
10.2	Open questions . . . . .	197
10.2.1	Comments on possible extensions . . . . .	197
10.3	+CARPS applications . . . . .	199
10.4	Final conclusions . . . . .	200
<b>A</b>	<b>JADE</b>	<b>201</b>
A.1	What is JADE? . . . . .	201
A.2	Where to find it? . . . . .	201
A.3	General aspects about the platform . . . . .	201
A.4	Related materials and tutorials . . . . .	201
<b>B</b>	<b>Format of the Input Files to the Metaheuristics from the Test Cases</b>	<b>203</b>
B.1	Introduction . . . . .	203
B.2	Format of the input files for the GA . . . . .	203
B.2.1	Format of the input data file containing metaheuristic's parameters . . . . .	204
B.2.2	Format of the input data file containing real problem information . . . . .	205
B.3	Format of the input file for the ES . . . . .	206
B.3.1	Format of the input data file containing metaheuristic's parameters . . . . .	206
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>207</b>
	<b>Index</b>	<b>229</b>

# List of Figures

1.1	Optimization and simulation processes in the agent-based configuration of metaheuristics. . . . .	4
1.2	System architecture and user interfaces: general view. . . . .	5
4.1	Tree Growing and Pruning Method for configuring metaheuristic algorithms. . . . .	33
4.2	Factorial Experimental Design and Local Search-based Method for configuring heuristic algorithms. . . . .	34
4.3	F-Race algorithm for configuring metaheuristics. . . . .	35
4.4	Fractional Experimental Design and Local Search-based Method for configuring metaheuristic algorithms. . . . .	36
4.5	Relationships between both metaheuristics and agent-based techniques. . . . .	40
5.1	Example of fixed and free parameters in the configuration of a metaheuristic. . . . .	47
6.1	Agent-Based Configuration of (Metaheuristic) Algorithms. . . . .	63
6.2	Layered view of +CARPS. . . . .	64
6.3	+CARPS architecture: Main interactions among the agents. . . . .	65
6.4	UM agent and its interactions. . . . .	67
6.5	SCB agents and their interactions. . . . .	68
6.6	Example of SCB agent. Four different starting configurations are constructed, $\mathbf{p}_1$ , $\mathbf{p}_2$ , $\mathbf{p}_3$ , and $\mathbf{p}_4$ , depending on the instantiation strategies that are available. . . . .	70
6.7	ISM agent and its interactions. . . . .	71
6.8	AS agents and their interactions. . . . .	74
6.9	Input and output data files. . . . .	75
6.10	AC agents and their interactions. . . . .	75
6.11	Agent-Based Random-Restart Hill-Climbing: Search procedure to improve configurations. . . . .	78
6.12	SM agent and its interactions. . . . .	81
6.13	Sequence diagram for the Helper-Booker Interaction Protocol. . . . .	83

6.14	Sequence diagram for the Engagement Interaction Protocol. . . . .	85
6.15	FIPA Request Interaction Protocol. . . . .	86
7.1	Classes diagram for both the +CARPS <i>Configuration</i> vocabulary and its ontology. . . . .	92
7.2	Classes diagram for both the +CARPS <i>User Problem</i> vocabulary and its ontology. . . . .	95
7.3	Classes diagram for both the +CARPS <i>Instantiation Strategy</i> vocabulary and its ontology. . . . .	95
7.4	Classes diagram for both the +CARPS <i>Agent's List</i> vocabulary and its ontology. . . . .	96
7.5	Behaviors of the UM agent. . . . .	97
7.6	Graphical User Interface of +CARPS. . . . .	99
7.7	Parameter Frame from +CARPS. . . . .	99
7.8	Agents and Configuration Algorithms Frame from +CARPS. . . . .	100
7.9	Tuning Frame from +CARPS. . . . .	101
7.10	User Problem Frame from +CARPS. . . . .	101
7.11	Behaviors of the SCB agents. . . . .	107
7.12	Behaviors of the ISM agent. . . . .	108
7.13	Behaviors of the AS agents. . . . .	112
7.14	Finite State Machine from AS agents for running algorithms. . . . .	114
7.15	Behaviors of the AC agents. . . . .	116
7.16	Finite State Machine for the ABRRH algorithm. . . . .	118
7.17	Behaviors of the SM agent. . . . .	122
7.18	Helper-Booker Initiator Finite State Machine. . . . .	124
7.19	Helper-Booker Responder Finite State Machine. . . . .	126
7.20	Engagement Initiator Finite State Machine. . . . .	129
7.21	Engagement Responder Finite State Machine. . . . .	130
7.22	Request Initiator Finite State Machine. . . . .	132
8.1	Pseudo-code of a Genetic Algorithm. . . . .	140
8.2	GA classes hierarchy. . . . .	147
8.3	Functioning of the starting method. . . . .	148
8.4	Main GA cycle. . . . .	150
8.5	Random generation of individuals in a population. . . . .	152
8.6	Recombination in a population of individuals. . . . .	153
8.7	Functioning of the simple one-point crossover operator. . . . .	153
9.1	Examples of agents, containers, and platforms. . . . .	159
9.2	Sniffer agent from JADE. . . . .	160
9.3	Singular and Pareto-optimal meta-solutions found by AC1 (left) and AC2 (right) agents for the system Q9-VP according to different criteria. . . . .	166

9.4	Partial and Pareto-optimal meta-solutions found by AC1 (left) and AC2 (right) agents for the system Q9-VP according to different criteria. . . . .	167
9.5	All singular meta-solutions found by both AC1 and AC2 agents for the system Q9-VP according to different criteria. . . . .	168
9.6	Worth of partial meta-solutions found by AC1 (left) and AC2 (right) agents for the system Q9-VP. . . . .	168
9.7	Worths of partial meta-solutions found by both AC1 and AC2 agents for the system Q9-VP. . . . .	169
9.8	User problem-related results for the system Q9-VP. . . . .	170
9.9	Variation of the solution quality when using different worth equations. . . . .	171
9.10	Quality of both the most significant singular meta-solutions and the Pareto-optimal ones with respect to the number of function evaluations. . . . .	172
9.11	Elapsed time of interaction protocols for the experiment <i>E-6E8a</i> . . . . .	173
9.12	Pareto sets that are obtained when varying the weight vector. . . . .	175
9.13	Variation of the solution quality when using different weight vectors. . . . .	176
9.14	Elapsed time of interaction protocols for the experiment <i>E-8aEd</i> . . . . .	176
9.15	Sets of singular meta-solutions obtained with respect to the time (left) and to the number of function evaluations (right) for two and eight neighbors. . . . .	178
9.16	Configurations that are generated when varying the number of neighbors. . . . .	179
9.17	Configurations that are generated for four (left) and eight (right) neighbors, near the optimum values. . . . .	180
9.18	Variation of the solution quality when changing the number of neighbors. . . . .	180
9.19	Elapsed time of both the ABRRH configuration algorithm and the user problem solver when varying the number of neighbors. . . . .	181
9.20	Elapsed time in milliseconds of both the ABRRH configuration algorithm and the user problem solver for two neighbors after five repetitions. . . . .	182
9.21	Configurations that are generated (left) and sets of singular meta-solutions (right) when varying the proportion of AC agents per parameter to fine-tune. . . . .	184
9.22	Variation of the solution quality (left) and the worth (right) when changing the proportion of AC agents per parameter to fine-tune. . . . .	185
9.23	Elapsed time of the Engagement IP when varying the proportion of AC agents per parameter to fine-tune. . . . .	186
9.24	Elapsed time in milliseconds of both the ABRRH configuration algorithm and the user problem solver, when varying the proportion of AC agents per parameter to fine-tune. . . . .	187

---

9.25	Distribution of singular meta-solutions over the analysis intervals.	188
9.26	Solution qualities for varying control parameters. . . . .	189
9.27	Solution qualities in the whole interval (left) and zoomed in (right) for both control parameters. . . . .	190
9.28	Distribution of Pareto-optimal singular meta-solutions over the analysis intervals. . . . .	190
9.29	Pareto-optimal singular meta-solutions according to their qualities.	191
9.30	Pareto-optimal singular meta-solutions and the parameter values that they represent. . . . .	191
9.31	Elapsed time of the Engagement IPs. . . . .	192
9.32	Elapsed time of both the ABRHC configuration algorithm and the user problem solver. . . . .	192



# List of Tables

7.1	Lists of agents . . . . .	104
7.2	States from the ABRRH algorithm and their abbreviations . . . . .	119
7.3	+CARPS technical information . . . . .	134
8.1	Some configuration methods and the algorithms that they configure.	137
8.2	Some disadvantages when using optimization methods. . . . .	145
8.3	GA technical information . . . . .	154
9.1	Observed data for the system Q9-VP. . . . .	162
9.2	Settings for the experiment <i>E-q9vp</i> . . . . .	164
9.3	GA fixed parameters for the experiment <i>E-q9vp</i> . . . . .	164
9.4	Settings for the experiment <i>E-6&amp;8a</i> . . . . .	171
9.5	Pareto-optimal meta-solutions from the experiment <i>E-6&amp;8a</i> . . . . .	173
9.6	Settings for the experiment <i>E-8a&amp;d</i> . . . . .	175
9.7	Settings for the experiment <i>E-1prop&amp;n</i> . . . . .	177
9.8	Settings for the experiment <i>E-4n&amp;ACprop</i> . . . . .	183
9.9	Settings for the experiment <i>E-60t</i> . . . . .	188
9.10	Statistics for singular meta-solutions, experiment <i>E-60t</i> . . . . .	188
9.11	Pareto-optimal singular meta-solutions from the experiment <i>E-60t</i> . . . . .	191